

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary		Application No.	Applicant(s)
		10/677,395	LETANT ET AL.
		Examiner	Art Unit
		Robert T. Crow	1634

All Participants:

(1) Robert T. Crow.

(2) Dominic Kotab.

Date of Interview: 26 June 2010

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Status of Application: Affirmed in part

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: _____

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

All

Claims discussed:

All

Prior art documents discussed:

None

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Robert T. Crow/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1634

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner contacted Applicant's representative to get authorization to cancel claims 7-9 and 16-18, the rejections of which were affirmed by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences on 25 March 2010. The examiner stated claims 1-6 and 12-12 are in condition for allowance. The examiner stated that if no authorization was provided by close of business on 2 July 2010, this action would be mailed. Applicant's representative did not reply to the inquiry.